All 4 slides decks from this session are contained in this file in alphabetical order by speaker last name.

Please text your attendance (within 24 hours) then complete the post-test and evaluation (within 4 weeks) at https://stjude.cloud-cme.com/COG or contact cpe@stjude.org with questions.



The Betsy Poon Pharmacy Education Series

2025 COG Spring Meeting



Assessment of TPMT/NUDT15 Pharmacogenomic Implementation for Thiopurine Dosing in Children with Cancer



Kelly E. Caudle, Pharm.D., Ph.D., BCPS, FCCP Associate Member/CPIC Co-PI and Director St. Jude Children's Research Hospital, Memphis, TN

Conflicts of Interest/Disclosures

There are no relevant financial interests in the last 24 months to disclose for any person with control over the content of this presentation

All relevant financial relationships listed for the individual have been mitigated by the ACPE accredited provider (St. Jude Continuing Pharmacy Education Program).



Abbreviations

- PGx-Pharmacogenomics
- ALL- Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia
- EHR-Electronic Health Record
- CDS-Clinical Decision Support
- NCCN-National Comprehensive Cancer Network
- COG-Children's Oncology Group
- IRB-Institutional Review Board

Learning Objectives

- Describe the implementation strategies for a successful implementation of pharmacogenetics (PGx) testing.
- Describe the barriers to a successful implementation of PGx testing.
- Understand the importance of the use of the electronic health record (EHR) for PGx testing.

Does your institution genotype patients for *TPMT*, *NUDT15* or both prior to use of thiopurines?

- A. TPMT
- **B.** NUDT15
- C. TPMT and NUDT15
- D. No



6MP dosing WITH pharmacogenomics reduces toxicity in pediatric patients with ALL



CHILDREN'S ONCOLOGY GROUP

Cheok, MH and Evans WE. Nat Rev Cancer. 2006; 6(2): 117-29.

Testing recommended in guidelines/protocols

• NCCN Guidelines Version 2.2025 for Pediatric ALL

- Genetic testing for no function alleles of *TPMT* and NUDT15 should be considered prior to the initiation of thiopurine therapy, or if excessive toxicity is encountered following treatment with thiopurines.
- For patients who are normal metabolizers of *TPMT* or *NUDT15* who do not appear to tolerate thiopurines, consider measuring erythrocyte thiopurine metabolites and/or erythrocyte TPMT activity. Genetic testing may not identify rare or previously undiscovered no function alleles.
- Utilizes CPIC recommendations
- COG protocols
 - Example AALL1732
 - *TPMT* and *NUDT15* genotype (*TPMT* highly recommended for all subjects; *NUDT15* is highly recommended for subjects of Hispanic/Native American or East Asian ancestry, and optional for all other subjects).



organizations

Cpicpgx.org

Updated August 2024

Common features of successful PGx implementation

- Stakeholder engagement including champions
- Laboratory and test selection
- Cost/reimbursement
- EHR integration
- Return of results to patients/families

Integration of PGx results in EHR is critical

- At a leading pediatric hospital where they used one system for reporting with another for the EHR
- Accessing PGx results took 6 clicks and multiple browser windows to get the results
- Serious safety event occurred where an ALL patient received the usual dose of mercaptopurine and had severe infection/myelosuppression and nearly died
- Patient was a TPMT poor metabolizer, and the results were in the chart when the first dose was given

St. Jude Children's Research Hospital

OurPractice Advisory - Zztest, Apple



- PGx Program led by Cyrine Haidar, PharmD
- Pre-emptively genotyped nearly 8,000 patients to date for 16 genes and 75 drugs
- Result available in the EHR prior to thiopurine prior to administration.
- Results entered as discrete field (not time stamped)
- Multidisciplinary team

Study aim

 This study aimed to assess implementation strategies, priorities, challenges, and lessons learned across COG institutions who have or have not implemented *TPMT* and/or *NUDT15* pharmacogenomic testing to guide thiopurine dosing in children with Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia (ALL).

Methods

- An online survey utilizing Qualtrics® was distributed via the COG pharmacist's listserv reaching 227 COG institutions.
- The survey included dichotomous, multiple-choice, and ranking questions as well as open-ended prompts to gather data regarding *TPMT* and/or *NUDT15* pharmacogenomic testing at COG institutions.
- Survey participation was voluntary. The study was reviewed by the St. Jude Children's Research Hospital IRB and determined to be exempt.

Results

- To date, pharmacists from 42 COG institutions completed the survey.
- 85% of responding hospitals were based in a large, academic setting.
- TPMT
 - 98% testing:
 - 95% in all patients
 - 5% reactively
 - 54% prior to consolidation
 - 30% prior to remission induction

• NUDT15

- 95% testing
 - 84% in all patients
 - 3% reactively
 - 8% only in Hispanic/Native American or East Asian ancestry
 - 46% prior to consolidation
 - 84% prior to remission induction

Challenges to implementation/testing



🛢 Significant challenge 🗧 Moderate challenge 🔳 Minimal challenge 📕 No challenge

Stakeholder engagement

Who is involved (select all)?



Who leads/champions?

Lab/test selection and reimbursement

- Where is the test performed*
 - 37% (n=15) In-house
 - 41% (n=17) Send out to another institution
 - 48% (n=19) Commercial lab
- Type of test*
 - 29% (n=12) Single gene test TPMT alone and/or NUDT15
 - 67% (n=27) TPMT/NUDT15 only panel
 - 34% (n=14) Multi-gene test/panel which includes TPMT and/or NUDT15

*Reported on a 5-point Likert scale; reporting "always" and "most of the time"



Lab/test selection and reimbursement

Turnaround time

- Median 5-8 days
- Reimbursement/payment*
 - 80% Insurance/3rd party
 - 0% Patient (5 respondents sometimes)
 - Some indicating it is bundled

*Reported on a 5-point Likert scale; reporting "always" and "most of the time"



EHR integration

- 93% reported results are integrated into the EHR
 - 15 (35%) reported scanned pdfs or not integrated into a discrete field



EHR integration

- Pre-test alerts
 - 81% (n=33) do NOT provide pre-test interruptive alerts
 - 52% (n=17) pre-selected in order set
 - 10% (n=3) in order set but not pre-selected
 - 32% (n=13) do not provide either
- Post-test alerts
 - 74% (n=30) do NOT provide post-test alerts
 - Of the 26% (n=11) providing CDS:
 - 45% (n=5) interruptive
 - 18% (n=2) in-line alerts
 - 36% (n=4) alert in drug ordering window

Return of results to patients/families

- Who returns the result to the patient?
 - 86% oncologists
 - 9% Pharmacists
 - 9% PGx service
 - 0% Genetic counselors
 - 28% patient portal (no provider involved)
 - 13% do not return results to the patient

*Reported on a 5-point Likert scale; reporting "always" and "most of the time"



Has your implementation/testing been successful?



Number of respondents



Conclusions

- Many COG institutions have implemented PGx for thiopurine use but many have not integrated these results into the EHR as discrete data.
- Still collecting data!!
- Need more institutions from community and/or resource limited hospitals to take the survey
- Need more institutions not testing to take the survey
- Once the study is complete, we will be developing and sharing resources to support implementation efforts

Conclusions

Resources that would have been or were beneficial to enhance implementation?



Most beneficial 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

Please take the survey!!!



Acknowledgements

• Contributors:

- Cyrine Haidar, PharmD
- Melissa Bourque, PharmD
- Brooke Bernhardt, PharmD
- Kris Crews, PharmD
- David Stenehjem, PharmD
- James Hoffman, MS, PharmD

Question & Answer Session

Kelly E. Caudle, PharmD, PhD, BCPS, FCCP

Kelly.caudle@stjude.org

CHILDREN'S ONCOLOGY GROUP Please text your attendance (within 24 hours) then complete the post-test and evaluation (within 4 weeks) at https://stjude.cloud-cme.com/COG or contact cpe@stjude.org with questions)

The Betsy Poon Pharmacy Education Series

2025 COG Spring Meeting



Empowering Patients: Establishing a Pharmacist-Led Pharmacogenomics Clinic in Pediatric Oncology



Cyrine-Eliana Haidar, Pharm.D, BCPS, BCOP, FASHP Associate Member St. Jude Children's Research Hospital Memphis, TN



Conflicts of Interest/Disclosures

NAME	COMPANY	RELATIONSHIP
Cyrine Haidar, Pharm.D.	Nothing to disclose	

All relevant financial relationships listed for the individual have been mitigated by the ACPE accredited provider (St. Jude Continuing Pharmacy Education Program).



Learning Objectives

 Evaluate the utility of implementing a pharmacogenomics clinic in a pediatric oncology setting

History of Pharmacogenomic Testing at St. Jude

- Single gene testing for select patients started with TPMT (1991) \rightarrow UGT1A1 (2006) \rightarrow CYP2D6 (2007)
- Initial steps to implement a Clinical Pharmacogenomics Service started in 2005
- Institution-wide preemptive array pharmacogenomic testing started in 2011 through the PG4KDS protocol
 - Test results used daily to guide pharmacotherapy



16 Gene Test Results Are Returned in the Medical Record and Used Daily to Adjust Medication Therapy


16 Genes and 75 Drugs Implemented

- <u>HLA-B*57:01</u> (1%)
 - Abacavir-2012
- <u>CYP2D6</u> (17%)
 - Codeine-2011
 - Tramadol-2012
 - Oxycodone-2013
 - Amitriptyline, nortripyline-2014
 - Clomipramine, imipramine, trimipramine, doxepin-2016
 - Fluoxetine, paroxetine-2012
 - Ondansetron-2013
- <u>CYP2C19</u> (62%)
 - Clopidogrel-2103
 - Amitriptyline-2014
 - Clomipramine, imipramine, trimipramine, doxepin-2016
 - Voriconazole-2015
 - Omeprazole, pantoprazole, lansoprazole-2018
 - Citalopram, escitalopram, sertraline-2022
- <u>CACNA1S/RYR1</u> (0.3%)
 - Enflurane, methoxyflurane, desflurane, halothane, isoflurane, sevoflurane-2019
 - Succinylcholine-2019

- <u>CYP3A5</u> (41%)
 - Tacrolimus-2015
- <u>ACYP2</u> (8%)
 - Cisplatin-2022

- <u>CYP2B6</u> (5%)
 - Methadone-2021
 - Efavirenz-2021
- <u>SLCO1B1</u> (13%)
 - Simvastatin -2013
- <u>TPMT/NUDT15 (11%)</u>
 - Mercaptopurine, thioguanine-2011/2017
 - Azathioprine -2011/2017
- <u>DPYD</u> (0.4%)
 - Fluorouracil, capecitabine-2014
- <u>UGT1A1</u> (28%)
 - Atazanavir-2015
- <u>CYP2C9</u> (32%)
 - Celecoxib-2017
 - Meloxicam, ibuprofen-2020
- <u>MT-RNR1</u> (0.2%)
 - Amikacin, gentamicin, tobramycin-2019
- <u>G6PD</u> (2%)
 - Dapsone, methylene blue, nitrofurantoin, phenazopyridine, primaquine, rasburicase-2020
 - Toluidine blue, pegloticase, tafenoquine-2022

CHILDREN'S ONCOLOGY GROUP

Percentage in parenthesis denotes % of patients enrolled on PG4KDS protocol who have a high-risk phenotype for the gene

www.stjude.org/pg4kds

Frequency of Actionable Pharmacogenomic Test Results



of patients genotyped on the had at least one high-risk pharmacogenomic in their health record

- Zero high-risk results
- 3 high-risk results

- 1 high-risk result
- 4 high-risk results

- 2 high-risk results
- 5 or more high-risk results

Establishing a Pharmacogenomics Clinic



Pharmacogenomics Clinic Process



Haidar CE. Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet. 2022;23:449-473.

GROUP

Pharmacogenomics Clinic Established in November 2023

Patient Demographics and Characteristics (n=295)			
Age, years (median, range)	9.98 (0.4-24.7)	Gender (self-reported) Female Male Other	137 157 1
Race (self-reported) American Indian/Alaska Native Asian Black White Mixed Race or Other	1 10 88 182 14	Primary Service Hematology Infectious Diseases Leukemia/Lymphoma Neuro Oncology Radiation Oncology Solid Tumor Transplant and Cellular Therapy	15 15 76 78 23 75 13
Reason for Visit Initiation Legal Guardian Request Pharmacogenomics Program Prescriber Request	9 284 2	Visit Location Inpatient Outpatient Clinic	7 288

Clinic Visits Typically Last 25 Minutes

Pharmacist Time (min) for Each Pharmacogenomics Clinic Visit



CHILDREN'S ONCOLOGY GROUP n=295 as of 02/01/2025

Patients Seen in Clinic Have a Median of 3 **Actionable Pharmacogenomic Test Results**



CHILDREN'S **ONCOLOGY** n=295 as of 02/01/2025

GROUP

Total of 15 Patients Receiving a High-risk Medication at Time of Counseling

Patients Receiving Actionable Medication at Time of Counseling (recommended therapy modification)				
Mercaptopurine (30% dose reduction)	7			
Voriconazole (monitor serum concentrations)	2			
Amitriptyline (monitor for efficacy)	1			
Irinotecan (monitor for toxicity)	1			
Ondansetron (monitor for efficacy)	1			
Pantoprazole (50% dose increase)	1			
Omeprazole (50% dose increase)	1			
Warfarin (monitor INR)	1			

All medications were appropriately dose adjusted, or close monitoring based on recommendations in clinical decision support alerts

Education Summary Shared with Patients During Clinic Visit

Introduction

Pharmacogenomic test results help your medical team know the best type of medicine or the correct dose of a drug for you. We do these tests to make some medications safer and more effective for you. Pharmacogenomic test results last a lifetime because genes do not change. Your doctors and pharmacists can always use the results. This can help your medical team pick the best medicines for you in the future. Save any pharmacogenomic test results you get. Tell your other doctors and pharmacists that pharmacogenomic testing has been performed and let them know the results. Pharmacogenomic test results will also be stored in your child's medical record.



When you take a medicine, your body needs a way to handle it. One way your body does this is CYP2 (metabolize) the medicine. If you break down a medicine too fast or too slow, the medicine may more side effects. In some instances, a small number of people will have unknown function, whic CYP3 indeterminate.

Part I: Result Summary			
iene	Genotype	Phenotyne	
ACNA1S	WT/WT	CACNA1S Malignant Hyperthermia Variant Negative	
YP2B6	*1/*1	CYP2B6 Normal Metabolizer	
YP2C19	*1/*17	CYP2C19 Rapid Metabolizer	
YP2C9	*1/*1	CYP2C9 Normal Metabolizer	
YP2D6	(*2/*2)2N	CYP2D6 Normal Metabolizer	
YP3A5	*1/*3	CYP3A5 Intermediate Metabolizer	
DPYD	c.=/c.=	DPYD Normal Metabolizer	
6PD	B/Null	G6PD Normal	
ILA-B *57:01	Negative	HLA-B*57:01 Negative	
1T-RNR1	WT	MT-RNR1 Normal Risk of Aminoglycoside-Induced Hearing Loss	
YR1	WT/WT	RYR1 Malignant Hyperthermia Variant Negative	
LCO1B1	*1/*37	SLCO1B1 Normal Function	
PMT	*1/*1	TPMT Normal Metabolizer	
IGT1A1	*28+80/*28+80	UGT1A1 Poor Metabolizer	

Education Summary Shared with Patients During Clinic Vis<u>it</u>

Part II: Result Details



Gene-Specific Patient Educational Material

St. Jusic Childrens			🕟 Language: Español Français हिन्दी Mo	ore > III More from St. Jude
St. Jude Children's Research Hospital	Together.		Search	٩
Conditions \lor	Treatments, Tests, and Procedures \vee	Medical Care \lor	Emotional Support and Daily Life \vee	Videos and Resources \checkmark

Cytochrome P450 2B6 (CYP2B6) and Medicines

 Home
 Treatments, Tests, and Procedures
 Tests
 Pharmacogenomics (Pharmacogenetics)

 >
 Cytochrome P450 2B6 (CYP2B6) and Medicines
 Pharmacogenomics (Pharmacogenetics)

What is CYP2B6?

When you take a medicine, your body needs a way to handle it. One way your body does this is by using enzymes[®] to break down (metabolize) the medicine. A family of enzymes called cytochrome P450 breaks down certain medicines. The enzymes make the medicine more or less active, depending on the specific medicine.

Cytochrome P450 286 enzymes, known as CYP286, break down several commonly used medicines. These medicines include efavirenz (used for certain infections) and methadone (used for pain management).

Pharmacogenomic testing

Each person differs from another at the DNA[®] level. Genes are segments of DNA that act as a set of instructions and tell the body how to work. The *CYP2B6* gene is a section of DNA that instructs how well CYP2B6 enzymes will work.

The study of how genes like *CYP2B6* affect the way your body interacts with medicines is called **pharmacogenomics**. Differences in your DNA that make up the *CYP2B6* gene can affect how well you are able to break down certain medicines.

If you break down a medicine too fast or too slow, the medicine may not work as well, or you may have more side effects.





CHILDREN'S ONCOLOGY GROUP

www.together.stjude.org

Pharmacogenomics Educational Material on St. Jude/Together Website Medicines and Pharmacy

Home > Patient Education Resources > Medicines and Pharmacy



St. Jude pharmacogenomic online educational resources were accessed nearly 30,000 times in 2023





Patients are Approached Several Times for Pharmacogenomic Discussions



How Can Patients Review Pharmacogenomic Test Results?



Patients Access to Pharmacogenomic Test Results in MyChart Through Genetic Profile

Му	Record
÷.	COVID-19
\odot	To Do
	Visits
ل	Test Results
	Medications
•	Health Summary
	Plan of Care
\overline{ullet}	Preventive Care
$\mathbf{\overline{\mathbf{Y}}}$	Questionnaires
"	Upcoming Tests and Procedures
0	Medical and Family History
•	Health Reports
~	Trends Dashboard
8.	Growth Charts
	Document Center
٢	Genetic Profile

My Genetic Profile (Benjamin)

This page shows what we know about your genes, including how they might affect the way you respond to certain medications and whether you are predisposed to certain conditions.

 \rightarrow

薞 Disease-Related Findings

This section contains findings that inform how your genes affect your risk for certain diseases.

Beckwith-Wiedemann Spectrum and Isolated Lateralized Overgrowth

Medication-Related Findings

This section contains findings that inform how your genes affect your response to different medications.

1 Do not stop taking any medications without talking to your provider first.

CACNA1S Malignant Hyperthermia Variant Negative	\rightarrow	CYP2B6 Intermediate Metabolizer	\rightarrow
CYP2C19 Rapid Metabolizer	\rightarrow	CYP2C9 Normal Metabolizer	\rightarrow
CYP2D6 Intermediate Metabolizer	\rightarrow	CYP3A5 Intermediate Metabolizer	\rightarrow
DPYD Normal Metabolizer	\rightarrow	G6PD Normal	\rightarrow
MT-RNR1 Normal Risk of Aminoglycoside-Induced Hearing Loss	\rightarrow	RYR1 Malignant Hyperthermia Variant Negative	\rightarrow
SLCO1B1 Normal Function	\rightarrow	TPMT Normal Metabolizer:	\rightarrow
UGT1A1 Intermediate Metabolizer	\rightarrow		

More Detailed Information About Each Phenotype

CYP2B6 Intermediate Metabolizer (Benjamin)

This test was performed during your treatment at St. Jude Children's Research Hospital to look for variations (changes) in certain genes. A gene refers to a part of the DNA. Variations in genes may affect how well you respond to certain medicines. Because your genes stay the same even as you age, it is important for you to share this result with your other doctors and pharmacists outside St. Jude. Those providers may not have easy access to all the information in your St. Jude medical record. This result may affect how doctors prescribe medicines throughout your life.

You are predicted to be a CYP2B6 intermediate metabolizer. People in this group have lower CYP2B6 enzyme function. You will likely need a different dose of some medicines or possibly a different medication. This result impacts the medication effavirenz. Please check with your doctor or pharmacist if you are unsure about whether you are taking this medication. Even though you may not be receiving a medicine related to CYP2B6 right now, you may receive them in the future. You have the same gene status as about 39% of people, as shown in the chart below. The exact percentage of each group varies by ethnic group, see <u>www.stjude.org/CYP2B6</u>.



You might have had genetic testing performed for other reasons. The Cancer Predisposition Clinic might have tested you to see if you are at risk for a disease that can be passed down in families (hereditary). Please talk to your doctor or genetic counselor for the results of those other tests.

For details on how to understand your CYP2B6 gene test result and your other gene test results that affect medications, visit <u>www.stjude.org/pg4kds</u>. For more information, you may also visit <u>http://cpicpgx.org/gene/cyp2b6/</u>

If you have questions about your gene test result, email *pharmacogenomics@stjude.org* or call St. Jude research nurses at 901-595-2482. If you are calling from outside the Memphis area, dial toll-free 1-866-2ST-JUDE (1-866-278-5833), and ask for extension 2482.

Do not stop taking any medications without talking to your provider first.

Related Test Results

CYP2B6 PG4KDS GENOTYPE on Oct 22, 2024

ARS Question

When counseling patients about their pharmacogenomic test results, it is important to discuss which of the following?

- A. The lifelong implications of the result
- B. Importance of sharing results with new clinicians
- C. Important of sharing result with pharmacists
- D. All of the above



Clinical Implementation Feasible in pediatric oncology setting



Patient Education

Is crucial

Need to emphasizes importance of sharing results

Mary Relling

Kristine Crews

James Hoffman

Allison Bragg

Kate Collum, Don Baker & Clinical Informatics

Kelly Caudle

Andrew Pappas, Ben McKinley, Nancy Kornegay, Ben McKinley, Austin Springer, Mark Wilkinson

Wenjian Yang, Colton Smith

- Jun Yang
- Cheng Cheng
- Michael Rossi
- Clifford Takemoto
- Genetic Counselors: Rose McGee, Sara Lewis, Alise Blake, Lily Grieve, Passant Shaker, Arti Pandey
- Pharmacists
- Clinical Staff
- Current and former clinical pharmacogenomics residents: Kevin Hicks, Gillian Bell, Mark Dunnenberger, Rose Gammal Donnelly, Amy Pasternak, Jennifer Hockings, Cameron Thomas, Keito Hoshitsuki, Sarah Morris, Katherine Robinson, Jenny Nguyen, Rachael Stone, Kayla Thibodaux, Meghan McNulty
- St. Jude patients and families

Question & Answer Session

Cyrine-Eliana Haidar, Pharm.D., BCPS, BCOP, FASHP

cyrine.haidar@stjude.org

CHILDREN'S ONCOLOGY GROUP Please text your attendance (within 24 hours) then complete the post-test and evaluation (within 4 weeks) at <u>https://stjude.cloud-cme.com/COG</u> or contact cpe@stjude.org with questions)

The Betsy Poon Pharmacy Education Series

2025 COG Spring Meeting



Fear of Fever: Bacterial Infection Rates and Antibiotic Use During Dinutuximab for High-Risk Neuroblastoma





Kelly Ratanasitee, PharmD PGY-2 Pediatric Pharmacy Resident

Madeleine King, PharmD, BCOP Project Mentor

University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI



Conflicts of Interest/Disclosures

NAME	COMPANY	RELATIONSHIP
Kelly Ratanasitee, PharmD	Nothing t	o disclose
Madeleine King, PharmD, BCOP	Nothing to disclose	

All relevant financial relationships listed for the individual have been mitigated by the ACPE accredited provider (St. Jude Continuing Pharmacy Education Program).

Learning Objective

 Evaluate the incidence of bacterial infections in febrile pediatric patients with high-risk neuroblastoma receiving dinutuximab to assess the need to continue to administer broad-spectrum antibiotics

Neuroblastoma Overview

- Most common extracranial solid tumor occurring in pediatric patients
- Arises in adrenal medulla and paraspinal region where sympathetic nervous system tissue is present
- Management based on risk
 stratification



CHILDREN'S ONCOLOGY GROUP Natthay KK. Nat Rev Dis Pri

Dinutuximab

- Used in post-consolidative therapy or relapse of neuroblastoma
- Monoclonal antibody targeting glycolipid disialoganglioside (GD2) on neuroblastoma cells
- Common adverse effects include infusion reaction, neurotoxicity, pain, capillary leak syndrome, and fever



Current Institutional Practice

Fever during dinutuximab admission

Draw blood cultures

Start broad spectrum antibiotics

- Ceftriaxone if not neutropenic
- Cefepime if neutropenic

Predictors for Blood Stream Infections in Pediatric Oncology Patients

- Esbenshade/Vanderbilt (EsVan) model
 - Included febrile pediatric oncology patients without severe neutropenia
- Antibiotics warranted if predicted risk of bloodstream infection >40%

History of stem cell transplant	Type of central line	Hypotension
Chills or rigors	Drug exposure	Presence of upper respiratory symptoms
Age	Temperature	Absolute neutrophil count
	Absolute monocyte count	

Active Learning Question

JF spikes a 39°C fever 24 hours after starting dinutuximab infusion. She is not neutropenic and appears clinically stable. What would your next course of action be?

- A. Recommend starting cefepime
- B. Recommend starting ceftriaxone
- C. Nothing, just watch and wait
- D. Admit to pediatric intensive care unit (PICU)





Determine the incidence of bacterial infections for high-risk neuroblastoma patients who develop fever during administration of dinutuximab

Identify risk factors for documented bacterial infection to further refine the need for repeated use of broad-spectrum antibiotics during hospital admissions for dinutuximab



Methods

Study design

• Single-center, retrospective study from September 1, 2019 to August 30, 2024

Inclusion criteria

• Patients with high-risk neuroblastoma who developed a fever within 48 hours of dinutuximab administration

Exclusion criteria

- Fever within 48 hours prior to dinutuximab administration
- Receiving treatment for a documented infection within 48 hours prior to dinutuximab administration
- Receiving concurrent aldesleukin therapy

Methods

Statistical analysis

- Baseline demographics and data analyzed using descriptive statistics
- Parametric data analyzed using T-test
- Non-parametric data analyzed using Chi-square or Fishers Exact test
- Univariate and multivariate logistic regressions to identify independent risk factors for bacteremia

Study Outcomes

Primary outcome

Incidence of bacteremia

Secondary outcomes

- Duration of antibiotic course
- Fever duration
- Length of hospital stay
- PICU admission
- Death during admission
- Risk factors for developing bacteremia

Results

37 patients identified with 364 encounters of dinutuximab

37 patients with 177 encounters of dinutuximab analyzed

187 encounters excluded

No fever during dinutuximab administration	179 (96%)
Treatment for documented infection within 48 hours of dinutuximab	8 (4%)

*All data presented as n (%)

Results – Baseline Characteristics

Baseline Characteristics (n=37)				
Sex (male)	21 (56.8%)			
Ethnicity				
Hispanic or Latino	5 (13.5%)			
Not Hispanic or Latino	32 (86.5%)			
Race				
Caucasian	33 (89.2%)			
Black or African-American	3 (8.1%)			
Unknown or not reported	1 (2.7%)			
History of autologous stem cell transplant	28 (75.7%)			

*All data presented as n (%)
Results – Baseline Characteristics

Baseline Characteristics (n=177)				
Age (years)	4.06 (2.84, 6.78)			
Dinutuximab indication				
Post-consolidation therapy	77 (43.5%)			
Relapsed/refractory therapy	100 (56.5%)			
Central line type				
Port	119 (67.2%)			
PICC	6 (3.4%)			
Port and PICC	20 (11.3%)			
Tunneled CVC	32 (18.1%)			

*All data presented as n (%) or or median (IQR: 25th percentile, 75th percentile)

Results – Primary Outcome

Incidence of Bacteremia	n (%)
True positive blood culture ¹	4 (2%)
No growth on blood culture	173 (98%)



BacteremiaNo Bacteremia

¹8 positive blood cultures, 4 considered contaminants

CHILDREN'S ONCOLOGY GROUP

Results – Primary Outcome

	Microorganism growth	organism growth Susceptibility to ceftriaxone		
1	Bacillus cereus	No susceptibilities reported	Ceftriaxone	
2	Streptococcus salivarius Staphylococcus homini Streptococcus mitis Coagulase negative staphylococcus	Susceptible No susceptibilities reported Susceptible No susceptibilities reported	Ceftriaxone transitioned to vancomycin; discharged on levofloxacin	
3	Pseudomonas putida Janibacter hoylei	No susceptibilities reported No susceptibilities reported	Ceftriaxone transitioned to cefepime	
4	Gordonia species Rhizobium radiobacter Acinetobacter species	No susceptibilities reported No susceptibilities reported No susceptibilities reported	Ceftriaxone initially, vancomycin added; discharged on sulfamethoxazole-trimethoprim and ciprofloxacin	

Results – Secondary Outcomes

Secondary Outcomes (n=177)	
Duration of antibiotic course, days	2.01 (1.45, 3.14)
Fever duration, days	1.82 (0.82, 3.05)
Length of hospital stay, days	5.00 (5.00, 6.00)
PICU admission	3 (1.7%)
Death during admission	0 (0%)

*All data presented as n (%) or median (IQR: 25th percentile, 75th percentile)

EsVan Model Analysis

	Central line type	Hypotensive	Shaking, chills, or rigors	History of stem cell transplant	Upper respiratory symptoms	Age (years)	Height of temperature (Celsius)	ANC	Calculated infection risk (%)
1	Port	No	No	No	No	6	39.3	>500	0.2
2	PICC	No	No	Yes	No	2	38.4	>500	1.1
3	Port	No	No	Yes	Yes	3	38.9	>500	0.2
4	PICC	No	No	Yes	No	4	40.1	>500	3.4

Study Limitations

Retrospective, single-center study design

Chart review was limited to detect non-culture positive infections

Insufficient number of patients with primary outcome to run multivariable analysis for statistical significance



Conclusions

Majority of patients that fever during dinutuximab administration do not develop bacterial infections

Bacteremia incidences in this study were all central-line associated bloodstream infections

Patients that developed bacteremia did not grow organisms that ceftriaxone would have reliably treated

CHILDREN'S ONCOLOGY GROUP

Future Directions

Present findings to pediatric infectious diseases and oncology groups

Adjust current institutional practice

• Draw cultures at first fever but hold off on starting antibiotics if clinically stable and not neutropenic



Learning Assessment

Which of the following statements regarding dinutuximab is true?

- A. Cefepime should be used in all patients who receive dinutuximab due to the high risk of bacteremia
- B. Fever is not a common adverse event observed with dinutuximab
- C. The majority of patients who fever, even with risk factors for infection, do not develop bacteremia with dinutuximab
- D. Dinutuximab is not used in post-consolidation or relapsed/refractory neuroblastoma



Acknowledgements

- Madeleine King, PharmD, BCOP
- Julia Brown, PharmD
- Martina Fraga, PharmD, BCOP

Question & Answer Session

Kelly Ratanasitee, PharmD

ratanasi@med.umich.edu

CHILDREN'S ONCOLOGY GROUP

The Betsy Poon Pharmacy Education Series

2025 COG Spring Meeting



CHILDREN'S ONCOLOGY GROUP

Short Course Cefixime to Prevent Irinotecan-Associated Diarrhea in Children with Solid Tumors



Megan Wright, PharmD, BCPS Clinical Pharmacy Specialist St. Jude Children's Research Hospital in Memphis, TN



Conflicts of Interest/Disclosures

 There are no relevant financial interests in the last 24 months to disclose for any person with control over the content of this presentation

All relevant financial relationships listed for the individual have been mitigated by the ACPE accredited provider (St. Jude Continuing Pharmacy Education Program).



Learning Objectives

- Review literature surrounding the use of cefixime for irinotecanassociated diarrhea
- Discuss considerations for shortening prophylaxis duration
- Describe practice changes and results of implementation

Irinotecan

Pharmacological Class

• Topoisomerase I inhibitor

Dosing

• Pediatric Sarcomas: 50 mg/m² IV daily *or* 90 mg/m² PO daily for 5 days, every 21 days

Adverse Effects

- Cholinergic syndrome, nausea, vomiting, myelosuppression
- Diarrhea (severe 10 to 18%)

CHILDREN'S ONCOLOGY IV: Intravenous PO: By Mouth Irinotecan. Lexi-Drugs. Hudson, OH: Le

GROUP

Mechanism of Diarrhea



Cefixime Allows for Dose Escalation of Irinotecan

Furman, et al.					
Objectives	 Determine the MTD, dose-limiting toxicity and pharmacokinetics of oral irinotecan Evaluate whether coadministration of cefixime 8 mg/kg/day, 5 days prior and continued during irinotecan therapy, reduced irinotecan-associated diarrhea 				
Inclusion Criteria	<21 years of ageDiagnosis of recurrent solid tumor, for which conventional treatment had failed				
Results	 Enrolled 39 patients 19 patients were treated with cefixime MTD of irinotecan was 1.5x higher at 60 mg/m² daily for 5 days for 2 consecutive weeks (repeated every 21 days) No patients complained or inability to tolerate cefixime 				
Conclusion	Cefixime administration with irinotecan was well tolerated and allowed for greater dose escalation				

Moving Forward: What is the optimal duration of cefixime?

CHILDREN'S
ONCOLOGY
GROUPMTD: maximal tolerated dose
Furman WL, Crews KR, Billups C, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2006;24(4):563-570.

Shortened 5-day course of Irinotecan with 10-day course of Cefixime resulted in greater dose intensity of Irinotecan



COG Standard of Care: Initiation 2 days prior to irinotecan, during irinotecan administration and continued 3 days after

CHILDREN'S
ONCOLOGY
GROUPCEF: CefiximeTEM: TemozolomideIRN: IrinotecanDLT: Dose Limiting ToxicityCOG: Children's Oncology GroupWagner LM, Perentesis JP, Reid JM, et al. Pediatr Blood Cancer. 2010;54(4):538-545.

Internal Review

- In 2019, evaluated 29 patients for 66 courses of irinotecan and 61 courses of cefixime
 - 3.2% compliance to COG recommendations
 - 49% of patients received cefixime for <u>></u> 14 days
 - No significant/severe GI sequelae discovered in those with short courses

Conduct MUE to evaluate cefixime use for irinotecaninduced diarrhea prevention Analyze prescribing compliance
Identify gaps in care
Benchmark with institutions

 Meet with key stakeholders to review knowledge gained from MUE and recommended best practices. Implement shortcourse cefixime

Educate

- Standardize orders in EHR
- Modify protocols
- Update online formulary

Post-implementation evaluation of short course cefixime for prophylaxis

- Outcomes
- Compliance

CHILDREN'S ONCOLOGY GROUP

MUE: Medication Use Evaluation EHR: Electronic Health Record

Current Practice

- Implemented on January 20, 2022
 - First Line:
 - Administration on the same days of irinotecan
 - Limited to total duration of 5 days
 - Development of Severe Diarrhea:
 - Can extend out to 10 days



Audience Participation

- What is your institution's current prophylaxis practice?
 - a. Ten Days: 2 days before, 5 days during, 3 days after
 - b. Eight Days: 5 days during, 3 days after
 - c. Seven Days: 2 days before, 5 days during
 - d. Five Days: 5 days during only
 - e. No prophylaxis
 - f. Other, please explain in chat

Audience Participation

- Please identify any compliance issues within your institution.
 - a. Family inappropriately administering
 - b. Delays in therapy due to counts
 - c. Incorrect prescriptions from providers
 - d. Poor tolerance of cefixime
 - e. Other, please explain in chat

Study Overview



Objectives

Primary Objective

• Compare the incidence of severe diarrhea with a course of irinotecan before and after the implementation of short-course cefixime prophylaxis

Secondary Objectives

- Evaluate provider adherence with a recently implemented standard for cefixime utilization for the prevention of irinotecan-associated diarrhea
- Compare the median number of days of cefixime prescribed per course of irinotecan during the pre- and post-implementation period
- Compare the incidence of *Clostridioides difficile* colitis or ceftriaxone-resistant infections within 21 days of receipt of irinotecan during the pre- and post-implementation period

Inclusion Criteria

• Received a 5-day course of irinotecan from a provider at St. Jude Children's Research Hospital





Statistical Analysis

Conducted using SAS 9.4

- Analyzed all courses of irinotecan
- Numeric values were reported using median and range
- Non-parametric Wilcoxon rank-sum test was preformed between two groups
- Chi-square test and Fisher's exact test were used for categorical variables

Baseline Characteristics

Table 1. Patient Characteristics	Pre-Implementation n = 35	Post-Implementation n = 50
Median Age at Start of Irinotecan, years [IQR]	11.8 [8, 14.2]	13.3 [6.2, 17.0]
Gender, Male (%)	54%	52%
Diagnosis		
Rhabdomyosarcoma Neuroblastoma Other UGT1A1 Phenotype	46% 20% 34%	40% 30% 30%
Normal Intermediate Poor Other	31% 54% 9% 6%	42% 26% 8% 14%
Irinotecan Administration Route, # of Courses (%)	n = 208	n = 223
Intravenous Oral Combination	164 (74%) 44 (20%) 15 (7%)	148 (71%) 58 (28%) 3 (1%)

Baseline Characteristics



CHILDREN'S ONCOLOGY GROUP

Severe Diarrhea Not Appreciably Different

Severe Diarrhea: need for hospitalization, intravenous (IV) fluid support or total parenteral nutrition support

Incidence of Severe Diarrhea



Provider Prescribing Compliance Increased



CHILDREN'S ONCOLOGY GROUP

Antimicrobial Stewardship Outcomes

Duration of Cefixime



Secondary Outcomes	Pre- Implementation n = 35	Post- Implementation n = 50	p-value
Median Days of Therapy [IQR]	21 [5, 27]	5 [4,8]	p < 0.0001
C. difficile Infections, no. (%)	1 (3%)	0	p > 0.999
Ceftriaxone-resistant Infections, no. (%)	0	0	-

CHILDREN'S ONCOLOGY GROUP

Discussion

- The incidence of severe diarrhea was comparable to data in the literature.
- Twelve patients in the pre-intervention arm and ten in the post-intervention arm met criteria for severe diarrhea based on initiation of intravenous fluid support.
- No patients were admitted to the hospital secondary to irinotecan-associated diarrhea.
- The one incident of *C. difficile* infection stemmed from a patient who was started on cefixime, then transitioned to empiric cefepime therapy.

Conclusion

- Implementation of short course cefixime did not increase the incidence of severe diarrhea or result in worse infectious-related outcomes.
- The new schema increased provider adherence and was less burdensome on patients and families.
- This practice change was a safe and effective antimicrobial stewardship initiative that has potential to improve patient quality of life.

Conclusion

Limitations:

- Retrospective nature
- Unable to use CTCAE criteria
- Small sample size

• Future Directions:

- Evaluate toxicities and stewardship outcomes with all courses of irinotecan
- Better characterize the role of UGT1A1 phenotype on the development of severe diarrhea

Study Team

• Co-Investigators:

- Anthony M. Christensen, PharmD, BCOP
- Stephen Hall, PharmD
- Shane J. Cross, PharmD, BCPS
- Ted Morton, PharmD, BCIDP
- Melissa S. Bourque, PharmD, BCOP, BCPS
- Josh Wolf, MBSS, PhD
- Kristine Crews, PharmD, BCPS
- Yin Su, MS
- Li Tang, PhD
Question & Answer Session

Megan Wright, PharmD, BCPS

megan.wright@stjude.org

CHILDREN'S ONCOLOGY GROUP